

---

**Revision:** 03

---

**Issue date:** 2024-03-20

---

**Prepared by:** Niklas Hofstötter

---

**Approved by:** Peter Beckedahl

---

Keyword: IGBT, switch, turn, Miller, parasitic, unipolar, gate, supply, voltage, positive, negative

# Limits and Hints how to Turn Off IGBTs with Unipolar Supply

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Risks in Turning Off IGBTs with 0V .....	1
2.1 Parasitic inductances .....	2
2.2 Effect of the Miller capacitance.....	3
3. Measurements with the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1.....	5
3.1 Comparative measurements of the dynamic switching behavior with bipolar and unipolar gate drive	5
3.2 Turn-Off of the inverse diode .....	7
3.3 Reduction of the parasitic effects with additional gate-emitter capacitance .....	9
3.4 Influence of the external gate-emitter capacitance on the switching Losses .....	11
4. Measurements and Simulation with the MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1.....	12
5. Conclusion.....	14

## 1. Introduction

Equipment manufacturers in the field of power electronics attempt to offer their products, such as electric drives, inverters for solar systems or UPSs in the most cost effective and space saving manner. For this reason, when driving modules in the low power range, they often resort to driving the power semiconductors with 0V (unipolar), instead of the usual negative turn-off voltage such as -8V to -15V (bipolar).

This application note is to point out the problems and limitations of unipolar driving of IGBTs and serves as an aid to the correct operation of unipolar controlled IGBTs.

## 2. Risks in Turning Off IGBTs with 0V

Unipolar switching of IGBTs, in contrast to bipolar switching, decreases the gap between the gate turn-off voltage of the driver and the threshold voltage  $V_{GE(th)}$  of the IGBT, at which it changes into the conductive state. Typical datasheet values for the threshold voltage are in the range of about 5V to 6.5V at a chip temperature of 25°C. With increasing chip temperature, the threshold voltage decreases by a few mV/K.

The small gap between the gate turn-off voltage and the threshold voltage of the IGBT increases the risk of parasitic turn-on of the IGBTs. Parasitic switching can be caused by the feedback effect of the Miller capacitance or by the influence of the parasitic inductance in the emitter branch. These two cases will be explained in more detail later. If an IGBT turns on parasitically, a current may flow in the phase leg, which creates additional losses in both IGBTs in question.


In addition to parasitic switching, consideration should be given to the fact that, when driving IGBTs unipolar, the switching delay times change, and, depending on the IGBT chip generation and technology, so will the switching losses.

## 2.1 Parasitic inductances

All power modules suffer from parasitic inductances which exist due to the power terminals and the internal chip connections. Especially in fast-switching modules this leads to over-voltages across IGBTs and diodes. The presence of parasitic inductances in current paths shared by both the load circuit and the control circuit (gate circuit), will influence the IGBT's gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  when switching the load current.

Figure 1 shows an IGBT with an inverse diode, and the parasitic inductance in the gate-emitter path. For simplicity, only one inductance is shown. In practice, this is a complex system of self-inductance and coupled inductances of the power and control circuit.

**Figure 1: Switch with parasitic inductance in the gate-emitter path**



When the load current changes in the parasitic inductance, a voltage will build up across the inductor due to the self-induction. A positive change in current induces a positive voltage, a negative change in current results in a negative voltage. The value of the induced voltage is calculated according to the equation of Faraday's Law.


$$v_{L\sigma} = L_{\sigma} \cdot \frac{di_{L\sigma}}{dt}$$

A positive induction voltage reduces the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  according to the mesh equation ① (see arrow in Figure 1), a negative induction voltage increases the gate-emitter voltage.

$$V_{GE'} = V_{GE} - v_{L\sigma}$$

Both, turning on and off the IGBT T as well as switching of the diode D causes a change in current in the parasitic inductance  $L_{\sigma}$ .

Figure 2 shows the block diagram of one phase leg with the parasitic inductances in the gate-emitter circuit (left), as well as the current and voltage waveforms of the parasitic inductance  $L_{\sigma 2}$  when switching on and off IGBT  $T_2$  and diode  $D_2$ .



When IGBT  $T_2$  is turned on, the load current of the diode  $D_1$  commutates to IGBT  $T_2$ . Until the peak of the reverse current ( $t_0 - t_1$ ) a positive voltage is induced across the parasitic inductance  $L_{\sigma 2}$ , reducing the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE2}$ . During the decay phase of the reverse current peak of diode  $D_2$  ( $t_1 - t_3$ ), the induced voltage across the parasitic inductance  $L_{\sigma 2}$  is negative, thus the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE2}$  increases. When turning off IGBT  $T_2$ , the load current of IGBT  $T_2$  commutates to diode  $D_1$ . The hereby induced voltage in the parasitic inductance  $L_{\sigma 2}$  raises the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE2}$  from the time  $t_4$  to time  $t_5$ . Both cases introduce a negative feedback for the IGBT that slows the switching and, therefore, the switching losses increase. As a positive side effect this provides better controllability of a short-circuit situation [2].


When the complementary IGBT  $T_1$  is turned off, the diode  $D_2$  turns on and takes over the load current of IGBT  $T_1$ . From the time  $t_4$  to time  $t_5$  the induced voltage  $v_{L\sigma 2}$  increases the gate-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$ . A parasitic turn-on of IGBT  $T_2$  during this period is not a problem, because the current already flows in the other direction through the parallel diode  $D_2$ .

Critical, however, is the time of turn-on of the complementary IGBT  $T_1$  in which the load current of the diode  $D_2$  commutates to IGBT  $T_1$ . During the decay phase of the reverse current of diode  $D_2$ , from time  $t_1$  to  $t_3$ , the gate-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$  is raised. If this reaches the value of the threshold voltage, IGBT  $T_2$  turns on and a cross-current flows in the phase leg, causing additional losses in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

## 2.2 Effect of the Miller capacitance

The Miller capacitance  $C_{GC}$  exists due to the internal structure of the IGBT, and, in the equivalent circuit, consists of two individual capacitances arranged in series. The first capacitance, which forms due to the oxide layer of the gate, has a constant value. The value of the second capacitance varies with the width of the space-charge zone in the  $n^-$ -drift region and is thus dependent on the collector-emitter voltage. Any change in the collector-emitter voltage causes a displacement current through the Miller capacitance.

**Figure 3: Displacement current due to the Miller capacitance**



The value of the current is calculated approximately from the product of the magnitude of the Miller capacitance and the rate of change of the collector-emitter voltage.


$$i_{GC}(V_{CE}) = C_{GC}(V_{CE}) \cdot \frac{dv_{CE}}{dt}$$

The displacement current  $i_{GC}$  increases the voltage at the on-chip gate-emitter capacitance and thus the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  of the IGBT. The amplitude of the voltage depends on the capacitive voltage divider of the internal semiconductor capacitances  $C_{GC}$  and  $C_{GE}$ , the internal gate resistance  $R_{Gint}$ , and the gate's external circuitry. Once the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  reaches the threshold voltage, the IGBT begins to change into the conductive state. A cross-current begins to flow in the phase leg, causing additional losses in both the IGBTs of the bridge leg concerned. (This phenomenon is also known as Miller-induced shoot-through.)

The cross-current results in a change of the current increase in the parasitic inductances of the load circuit. Due to the self-induction the parasitic inductances take over part of the DC-bus voltage and reduce the rate of change of the collector-emitter voltage. An equilibrium arises between the degree of IGBT turn-on and the feedback of the Miller capacitance on the gate circuit. This causes the value of the gate-emitter voltage not to increase much further than the value of the threshold voltage of the IGBT.

Neglecting the parasitic inductances in the gate circuit it reduces the equivalent circuit diagram of the feedback circuit to the RC-network shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 4: Equivalent circuit diagram of the gate circuit**




Once the IGBT is in steady state and the change of the collector-emitter voltage is constant, the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  caused by the displacement current depends on the sum of the gate resistor values and the internal driver resistance ( $R_{ges} = R_{Gext} + R_{Gint} + R_D$ ). The larger the summed resistance, the larger the gate-emitter voltage ( $V_{GE'} = R_{ges} \cdot i_{GC}$ ). The gate-emitter voltage time function is determined by the time constant of the RC-network connected. The larger the capacitances of the network, the longer it takes for the steady state to arrive.

### 3. Measurements with the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1


The MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 is a 35A module consisting of a rectifier, a brake chopper, and an inverter unit. The following investigations were carried out on one phase leg of the inverter. A phase leg consists of two series-connected IGBTs and the associated freewheeling diodes. The upper switch is referred to as TOP IGBT the lower as BOT IGBT. This module uses 1200V IGBT Trench 4 chips without internal gate resistor, and CAL4 freewheeling diodes.

**Figure 5: Equivalent circuit diagram of the gate circuit**



To determine the dynamic characteristics a double pulse method was used with an inductive load. Figure 6 shows the basic configuration for measuring the TOP IGBT (right) or BOT IGBT (left). Depending on requirements, either the TOP or BOT IGBT is switched, while the inactive IGBT is kept in the off-state by the driver.

**Figure 6: Block diagram of the double-pulse test**




#### 3.1 Comparative measurements of the dynamic switching behavior with bipolar and unipolar gate drive

In order to compare the dynamic behaviour of an IGBT with bipolar and with unipolar control, the dynamic characteristics of both types of control were determined on the same IGBT using a double pulse method. First, the dynamic behaviour of the IGBT has been studied with bipolar drive. Afterwards, the driver was modified so that it could be used for the measurement with unipolar drive. Both measurements were carried out under identical conditions, apart from the gate-emitter voltage.

Table 1: Measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1					
	$V_{CC}$	=			600V
	$T_j$	=			150°C
	$R_{Gon}$	=			18Ω
	$R_{Goff}$	=			18Ω
	$I_C$	=			35A
bipolar			unipolar		
$V_{GE}$	=	±15V	$V_{GE}$	=	0/15V
$E_{on}$	=	100%	$E_{on}$	=	102%
$E_{off}$	=	100%	$E_{off}$	=	104%
$t_{d(on)}^*$	=	133ns	$t_{d(on)}^*$	=	57ns
$t_{d(off)}^*$	=	345ns	$t_{d(off)}^*$	=	476ns

\* Definition of  $t_{d(on)}$  and  $t_{d(off)}$  according to Figure 7.

Figure 7 shows the switching behavior of an IGBT with bipolar control (top) compared with the switching behavior with unipolar control (bottom).



Comparing the waveforms of the unipolar driven IGBT with those of the bipolar driven IGBT, it can be observed that both curves of the collector currents and of the collector-emitter voltages are almost identical, both at turn-on and turn-off. Since current and voltage waveforms differ only slightly from each other, the calculated energy dissipations remain at a similar level as well.

The reason for the almost identical turn-on losses is easily explained. Upon reaching the threshold voltage, the actual switching of the IGBT begins. The speed of the switching operation depends on the charging of the internal semiconductor capacitances and thus on the amount of charge carriers that may be brought into the gate within a certain period. Since the driving voltage during turn-on, which is measured from the threshold


voltage to the full gate turn-on voltage of the driver, is the same in the two control modes, the switching losses are also similar.

The reason for the almost identical turn-off losses originates in the turn-off behavior of the 1200V IGBT Trench 4. If an IGBT is turned off with 0V instead of a negative voltage, then, with the same gate resistance, a smaller gate current flows, discharging the internal semiconductor capacitance. A minimization of the gate current can be achieved with the same gate voltage also by an increase in the gate resistance. Thus, the unipolar switching is similar in consequence to an increase in the gate resistance. The seeming increase in the resistance value can be determined in a good approximation with the following formula.

$$R_{G'} = R_G \cdot \frac{V_{GE(th)} - V_{G(off\ bipolar)}}{V_{GE(th)}}$$

As can be seen in Figure 8, the energy dissipations, when switching off the 1200V IGBT Trench 4, are nearly constant over a wide range of the gate resistance value. As long as the calculated value for  $R_{G'}$  is in the constant region of the energy dissipation characteristic, only small differences in energy dissipation between the unipolar and bipolar switching can be expected.

**Figure 8: Switching energy dissipations in the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1**



For other IGBT generations and technologies for which there is a relation  $E_{off} = f(R_G)$ , increased turn-off losses have been demonstrated in unipolar switching. The amount of change can be estimated via the gate resistor analogy from the above formula.

Differences can be observed for the turn-on and turn-off delay times. As can be seen in Figure 7 the delay time increases for turn-off and decreases when turning on. This must be considered when setting the interlock times.

### 3.2 Turn-Off of the inverse diode

The following measurements are used to consider the influence of the Miller capacitance and the parasitic emitter inductance on the gate circuit of the turned off IGBT  $T_2$ , when switching the complimentary IGBT  $T_1$ . Figure 9 shows the block diagram of this measurement set-up. To enable the measurement of the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE}$  and the induced voltage  $v_{L02}$ , an additional sense wire is attached directly to the emitter of IGBT  $T_2$ . Gate resistors were those shown in the datasheet; 18 $\Omega$  for both turning on and off of the IGBTs.

**Figure 9: Block diagram of the measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1**




Figure 10 shows the curves measured on IGBT  $T_2$  and diode  $D_2$  upon turn-on of the complementary IGBT  $T_1$ . When  $T_1$  turns on, the current commutates from the freewheeling diode  $D_2$  to IGBT  $T_1$ . The commutation speed depends on the switching speed of IGBT  $T_1$  and the commutation inductance.

From the beginning of the current commutation to the maximum of the reverse current of the diode, the parasitic inductance  $L_{\sigma 2}$  is the main factor influencing the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  of IGBT  $T_2$ . Since, during this time, the  $di_{L\sigma 2}/dt$  is positive, the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  is negative, and the IGBT remains turned off safely.


The critical period starts with the decay of the reverse current, as the change in current through the parasitic inductance is negative here. As a result, the gate-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$  is raised. This effect is superimposed by the effect of the Miller capacitance, since diode  $D_2$  starts to pick up off-state (reverse) voltage. With the building up of the reverse voltage, the collector-emitter voltage increases across IGBT  $T_2$ , which in turn causes a displacement current through the Miller capacitance in the direction of the driver. This displacement current additionally raises the gate-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$ .

Once the tail current phase is reached the influence of the parasitic inductance decreases because the rate of change of the current decreases. The gate-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$  decreases slightly then but is held up due to the effect of the Miller capacitance, until diode  $D_2$  has completely built up its reverse voltage.

The measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 show that without the additionally attached sense wire, the real voltage across the chip cannot be measured, as long as the effect of the parasitic inductance is affecting the gate-emitter voltage. Once the tail current phase is reached both measured voltages  $V_{GE}$  and  $V_{GE'}$  are nearly identical and it can be assumed that the measured voltage at the module connection is relatively close to the actual voltage value.

The curves also show that the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  on the turned off IGBT  $T_2$  reaches the critical level of the threshold voltage  $V_{GE(th)}$ , but a parasitic turn-on cannot be observed.

**Figure 10: Turn-off of the inverse diode with  $R_G = 18\Omega$  and  $C_{GE} = 0nF$**



### 3.3 Reduction of the parasitic effects with additional gate-emitter capacitance

For this measurement, external gate-emitter capacitance, each with a value of 10nF, has been added at IGBT  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The selected external gate-emitter capacitance is about five times greater than the value of the input capacitance  $C_{ies}$  of the IGBTs. The influence of the Miller capacitance and the parasitic inductance was again measured in the gate circuit. Again, the turn-off of the diode  $D_2$  was considered during the turn-on of the complementary IGBT  $T_1$ . Since the external gate-emitter capacitance slows down the switching behavior of the IGBT, smaller gate resistors of 6.2Ω were used to compensate.

Figure 11 shows the block diagram of the measurement setup.

**Figure 11: Block diagram of the measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 with external gate-emitter capacitance**




Figure 12 shows the commutation of the current from diode  $D_2$  to IGBT  $T_1$ . If compared to the previous measurement (Figure 10) the voltage profiles show a significant reduction of parasitic influences.


On the one hand the influence of the Miller capacitance is reduced by the use of the external gate-emitter capacitance on IGBT  $T_2$ . The external capacitor increases the total capacitance between the gate and the emitter. Hence, there are more charge carriers needed to bring the gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$  into the region of the threshold voltage  $V_{GE(th)}$ .

On the other hand, the influence of the parasitic inductance is reduced by the use of the external gate-emitter capacitance at IGBT  $T_1$ . The external capacitance slows down the turn-on of IGBT  $T_1$  and hence the commutation of the current of the freewheeling diode  $D_2$  to IGBT  $T_1$ . The reduction of the current rate of change by the parasitic inductances minimizes the induced voltage in the gate circuit. The gate-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$  is therefore not increased as much.

Further, the smaller gate turn-off resistor ( $R_{Goff} = 6.2\Omega$ ) reduces the parallel impedance to deal with the capacitive displacement current and it also reduces the influence of the Miller capacitance for the gate circuit.

In order to prevent parasitic switching of an IGBT, it is recommended that the external gate-emitter capacitance be selected so that the value of the gate-emitter voltage does not exceed half of the threshold voltage (2.5V to 3V).

**Figure 12: Turn-off of the inverse diode with  $R_G = 6.2\Omega$  and  $C_{GE} = 10nF$**



### 3.4 Influence of the external gate-emitter capacitance on the switching Losses

The positive properties of the external gate-emitter capacitance, with which the parasitic effects are minimised, have the disadvantage of an increase in switching losses, as the additional gate-emitter capacitance of the IGBT slows down the switching process. Another disadvantage is the additional driving power ( $P = f_{sw} \cdot C_{GExt} \cdot \Delta V^2$ ) required to change the charge of the external gate-emitter capacitance.

In order to minimise the switching losses in spite of an external gate-emitter capacitance, the value of the gate resistor can be adjusted. It must be noted, however, that any change in the value of the gate resistors requires a new SOA-calculation as well as a fresh investigation of the parasitic effects.

In the present case the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 could be operated with a resistance value ( $R_{Gon}$ ,  $R_{Goff}$ ) of  $6.2\Omega$  and an external gate-emitter capacitance of  $10nF$ . Table 2 shows the switching losses as a function of the resistor values and the value of the external gate-emitter capacitor. The values of the switching losses are given in percent. One hundred percent corresponds to the data sheet value.


**Table 2: Relative switching losses of the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 with different gate resistors and gate-emitter capacitances**

	$E_{off}$ [%]		$E_{on}$ [%]		$E_{off} + E_{on}$ [%]	
	$R_G = 18\Omega$	$R_G = 6.2\Omega$	$R_G = 18\Omega$	$R_G = 6.2\Omega$	$R_G = 18\Omega$	$R_G = 6.2\Omega$
0.0nF	100.0	96.5	100.0	75.4	100.0	84.1
1.0nF	100.6	97.1	101.0	74.8	100.8	84.0
4.7nF	100.9	97.1	112.3	75.8	107.6	84.6
10.0nF	102.9	97.4	134.8	84.2	121.7	89.7
15.0nF	106.1	98.0	152.5	92.8	133.3	94.9

#### 4. Measurements and Simulation with the MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1

The MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1 is a 150A inverter module. The following study is carried out on one phase leg of the inverter. A phase leg consists of two switches connected in series with the associated freewheeling diodes. A switch is made up of two 75A IGBTs connected in parallel. The upper switch is referred to as TOP IGBT the lower as BOT IGBT. This module uses 1200V Trench 4 IGBTs with an internal 10Ω gate resistor and CAL4 freewheeling diodes. The chip internal gate resistor is needed to avoid parasitic oscillations between parallel IGBTs.

**Figure 13: MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1**



In this test on the MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1, as with the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1, turn-off of the diode  $D_2$  occurs when turning on the complementary IGBT  $T_1$ . Figure 14 (left) shows the block diagram of this test setup. Since the chip internal gate-emitter voltage cannot be measured, it was simulated. Figure 15 shows the results of the simulation. 1Ω was used as external gate resistors both for turning on and off of the IGBTs, as shown in the datasheet.

Experience has shown that the effect of the Miller capacitance is the dominant factor influencing the gate circuit for modules with internal gate resistors. For this reason, the influence of the parasitic inductances is not taken into account here.

**Figure 14: Measurements on the MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1**





Figure 14 (right) shows the characteristic of the collector current of  $T_1$  and the collector-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$  during the turn-on of IGBT  $T_1$ . The collector-emitter voltage of IGBT  $T_2$  is determined by the diode  $D_2$ . When the blocking voltage builds up across it the collector-emitter voltage of the IGBT  $T_2$  increases to the same extent.

When the reverse voltage builds up across diode  $D_2$ , a displacement current flows in the direction of the gate, due to the Miller capacitance of the IGBT  $T_2$ . This raises the gate-emitter voltage and causes the parasitic turn-on of IGBT  $T_2$ . A cross current flows from  $V_{CC+}$  to  $V_{CC-}$  which increases the energy dissipation in IGBT  $T_1$  and IGBT  $T_2$ . The parasitic switching of IGBT  $T_2$  is indicated by the shape of the curve of the collector current of IGBT  $T_1$ . The shaded area during the decay phase of the diode reverse current indicates the duration of the parasitic turn-on of IGBT  $T_2$ .

Figure 15 shows the simulated gate-emitter voltages of IGBT  $T_2$  without external gate-emitter capacitance (left) and with external gate-emitter capacitance (right). The simulation shows that the measurable gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE}$  offers little explanation for what is responsible for the parasitic turn-on, which is the internal gate-emitter voltage  $V_{GE'}$ . This is due to the ratio of the external gate resistor to the internal gate resistor. Since the same current flows through both resistors, the voltage across the internal gate resistance is significantly larger than the one across the external resistance.

The procedure to use an external gate-emitter capacitance to reduce the effect of the Miller capacitance, as has been demonstrated by the example of the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1, is not successful with the MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1. This is due to the internal gate resistance, where the largest part of the voltage drop occurs, which is caused by the displacement current through the Miller capacitance. In this case, sufficient protection against parasitic switching can only be achieved by a negative gate turn-off voltage of the driver.

**Figure 15: Simulation MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1**



## 5. Conclusion

Unipolar control of low power modules with 1200V IGBT Trench 4 without internal gate resistors is possible if a few basic rules are observed. The biggest challenge is the connection of the driver, since the gate turn-off voltage is just a few volts below the gate threshold voltage. In order to prevent parasitic switching, a suitable clamping circuit is necessary, to be integrated into the gate circuit. This, as described in this application note, can be realized with the help of an external gate-emitter capacitance, or one of the popular active Miller clamping circuits.

Considering the energy dissipation occurring with unipolar switching of a 1200V IGBT Trench 4, it is proving a great advantage that the turn-off losses are nearly constant over a wide range of gate resistance values. This means that the difference is very low between the energy dissipations in unipolar switching and the energy dissipations in bipolar switching. However, the change in switching times must be observed and a possible adjustment of the interlock times be made.

With increasing power of the modules, it will become more difficult to integrate an effective clamping mechanism into the gate circuit, since the influence of the parasitic inductances on the gate-emitter voltage increases.

Modules with IGBTs that have internal gate resistors cannot at all, or only insufficiently, be protected by a clamping circuit. This is due to the feedback by the displacement current of the Miller capacitance, which leads to a voltage drop on the internal gate resistance and thus raises the gate-emitter voltage. IGBTs with an internal gate resistor should always be turned off with a sufficiently negative voltage.

Figure 1: Switch with parasitic inductance in the gate-emitter path.....	2
Figure 2: Block diagram of one phase with the parasitic inductances in the gate-emitter circuit.....	3
Figure 3: Displacement current due to the Miller capacitance .....	4
Figure 4: Equivalent circuit diagram of the gate circuit .....	4
Figure 5: Equivalent circuit diagram of the gate circuit .....	5
Figure 6: Block diagram of the double-pulse test .....	5
Figure 7: Turn-on and turn-off behaviour with bipolar and unipolar control .....	6
Figure 8: Switching energy dissipations in the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 .....	7
Figure 9: Block diagram of the measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 .....	8
Figure 10: Turn-off of the inverse diode with $R_G = 18\Omega$ and $C_{GE} = 0nF$ .....	9
Figure 11: Block diagram of the measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 with external gate-emitter capacitance.....	10
Figure 12: Turn-off of the inverse diode with $R_G = 6.2\Omega$ and $C_{GE} = 10nF$ .....	11
Figure 13: MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1 .....	12
Figure 14: Measurements on the MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1 .....	12
Figure 15: Simulation MiniSKiiP®39AC12T4V1 .....	13
Table 1: Measurements on the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1.....	6
Table 2: Relative switching losses of the MiniSKiiP®24NAB12T4V1 with different gate resistors and gate-emitter capacitances .....	11

## Symbols and Terms

Letter Symbol	Term
C	Collector
CAL	Controlled axial lifetime
C <sub>GC</sub>	Gate-Collector-capacitance (Miller capacitance)
C <sub>GE</sub>	Gate-Emitter capacitance
C <sub>GEext</sub>	External Gate-Emitter capacitance
C <sub>GEint</sub>	Chip internal Gate-Emitter capacitance
D	Diode
di <sub>Lσ</sub> / dt	Change of current per unit of time within the parasitic inductance
dv <sub>CE</sub> / dt	Change of voltage per unit of time of the Collector-Emitter voltage
E	Energy dissipation
E	Emitter
E <sub>off</sub>	Energy dissipation during turn-off time
E <sub>on</sub>	Energy dissipation during turn-on time
E <sub>rr</sub>	Energy dissipation during recovery time (diode)
f <sub>sw</sub>	Switching frequency
G	Gate
IGBT	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
I <sub>C</sub>	Collector current
i <sub>GC</sub>	Displacement current due to Miller capacitance
i <sub>Lσ</sub>	Current within the parasitic inductance
L	Load inductance
L <sub>σ</sub>	Parasitic inductance
P	Power
P <sub>V</sub>	Power loss
R <sub>D</sub>	Internal driver resistance
R <sub>G</sub>	Gate resistor
R <sub>G'</sub>	Imaginary Gate resistance
R <sub>Gext</sub>	External Gate resistor
R <sub>Gint</sub>	Internal Gate resistor
R <sub>Goff</sub>	Gate resistor (turning off)
R <sub>Gon</sub>	Gate resistor (turning on)
t	Time

Letter Symbol	Term
T	IGBT
$t_{d(off)}$	Turn-off delay time
$t_{d(on)}$	Turn-on delay time
$T_j$	Junction temperature
$\Delta V$	Change of voltage
$V_{CC}$	Supply voltage
$V_{CC+}$	Positive supply voltage
$V_{CC-}$	Negative supply voltage
$V_{CE}$	Collector-Emitter voltage
$V_{G(off)}$	Gate turn-off voltage (driver)
$V_{GE}$	Gate-Emitter voltage
$V_{GE^*}$	Gate-Emitter voltage (chip potential)
$V_{GE(th)}$	Gate-Emitter threshold voltage
$V_{L\sigma}$	Voltage across the parasitic inductance

A detailed explanation of the terms and symbols can be found in the "Application Manual Power Semiconductors" [2].

## References

- [1] [www.semikron-danfoss.com](http://www.semikron-danfoss.com)
- [2] A. Wintrich, U. Nicolai, W. Tursky, T. Reimann, "Application Manual Power Semiconductors", 2nd edition, ISLE Verlag 2015, ISBN 978-3-938843-83-3

## **IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND WARNINGS**

The information provided in this document may not be considered as any guarantee or assurance of product characteristics ("Beschaffenhheitsgarantie"). This document describes only the usual characteristics of Semikron Danfoss products to be expected in typical applications, which may still vary depending on the specific application. Therefore, products must be tested for the respective application in advance. Resulting from this, application adjustments of any kind may be necessary. Any user of Semikron Danfoss products is responsible for the safety of their applications embedding Semikron Danfoss products and must take adequate safety measures to prevent the applications from causing any physical injury, fire or other problem, also if any Semikron Danfoss product becomes faulty. Any user is responsible for making sure that the application design and realization are compliant with all laws, regulations, norms and standards applicable to the scope of application. Unless otherwise explicitly approved by Semikron Danfoss in a written document signed by authorized representatives of Semikron Danfoss, Semikron Danfoss products may not be used in any applications where a failure of the product or any consequences of the use thereof can reasonably be expected to result in personal injury.

No representation or warranty is given and no liability is assumed with respect to the accuracy, completeness and/or use of any information herein, including without limitation, warranties of non-infringement of intellectual property rights of any third party. Semikron Danfoss does not convey any license under its or a third party's patent rights, copyrights, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights, neither does it make any representation or warranty of non-infringement of intellectual property rights of any third party which may arise from a user's applications. This document supersedes and replaces all previous Semikron Danfoss information of comparable content and scope. Semikron Danfoss may update and/or revise this document at any time.

Semikron Danfoss International GmbH  
Sigmundstrasse 200, 90431 Nuremberg, Germany  
Tel: +49 911 65596663  
sales@semikron-danfoss.com, www.semikron-danfoss.com